UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,123	02/27/2004	Alexander Keller	YOR920030547US1 7598 (590.125)	
	7590 06/20/200 SSOCIATES LLC		EXAMINER	
409 BROAD ST	ΓREET		CHEN, QING	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/789,123	KELLER ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Qing Chen	2191				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Qing Chen</u> .	(3) <u>Stanley D. Ference III (</u>	Reg. No. 33,879	<u>)</u> .			
(2) <u>Ted T. Vo</u> .	(4) <u>Jimm Dolak</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,721,824; US 6,675,382; US 6,952,825</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed the differences between the claimed invention and prior art.</u> <u>Applicant proposed to amend the claims to further clarify the inventive subject matter. Examiner will take Applicant's amendment into consideration when the formal amendment is received by the Office.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTELE Requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
	/Wei Y. Zhen/ SPE TC2100					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				